

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 03-6831

MICHAEL F. CROSBY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

DEPUTY R.K. ALFORD; DEPUTY M. NAGEL; DEPUTY
CHILDREN; MASTER DEPUTY WILLIAMS; DEPUTY H.K.
STIFFLER,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District
Judge. (CA-01-781)

Submitted: August 14, 2003

Decided: August 22, 2003

Before WILLIAMS, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Michael F. Crosby, Appellant Pro Se. Samuel Lawrence Dumville,
NORRIS & ST. CLAIR, P.C., Virginia Beach, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Michael F. Crosby appeals the district court's orders denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) complaint and denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion for a new trial. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm both orders for the reasons stated by the district court. See Crosby v. Alford, No. CA-01-781 (E.D. Va. Apr. 9 and May 7, 2003). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED